



Moody's Investors Service

## Credit Opinion: **Ambac Assurance Corporation**

Global Credit Research - 22 Jan 2010

*New York, New York, United States*

### Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Rating Outlook	DEV
Insurance Financial Strength	Caa2
<b>Ambac Financial Group, Inc.</b>	
Rating Outlook	NEG(m)
Senior Unsecured	Ca
Junior Subordinate	C

### Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Helen Remeza/New York	1.212.553.1653
Ranjini Venkatesan/New York	
Stanislas Rouyer/New York	

### Key Indicators

#### [1] **Ambac Assurance Corporation**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Gross Par Written	\$ 11,298	\$ 125,977	\$ 124,457	\$ 125,278	\$ 118,106
Gross Premiums Written	\$ 537	\$ 1,031	\$ 997	\$ 1,096	\$ 1,048
Net Par Outstanding	\$ 434,310	\$ 524,025	\$ 519,043	\$ 479,085	\$ 459,432
Hard Capital (Statutory)	\$ 12,845	\$ 12,859	\$ 11,590	\$ 10,587	\$ 9,819
Net Income (GAAP)	\$ (5,609)	\$ (3,248)	\$ 876	\$ 751	\$ 725
Strategy & Franchise Value					
% of Industry Net Par Outstanding	18.4%	19.9%	22.3%	23.2%	24.0%
% of Industry Gross Par Written	8.2%	19.9%	21.7%	23.5%	25.1%
Portfolio Characteristics					
Credit Risk Ratio (bps)	248	81	47	42	45
Tail Risk Ratio (bps)	395	197	141	132	141
Capital Adequacy					
Aaa Hard Capital Ratio	0.80x	1.22x	1.57x	1.64x	1.51x
Aaa Total Capital Ratio	0.72x	1.13x	1.52x	1.58x	1.47x
Par Reinsured	12.8%	14.4%	8.6%	8.4%	8.6%
Profitability					
Adjusted Return on Equity [2]	nm	-0.6%	15.6%	14.4%	16.2%
Loss Ratio (SAP) [3]	528.2%	140.8%	6.0%	9.5%	12.2%
Expense Ratio (SAP)	29.1%	14.5%	15.0%	12.3%	11.1%
Financial Flexibility					
Earnings Coverage	nm	nm	16.9x	18.2x	18.5x

Cash Flow Coverage	<b>0.0x</b>	<b>3.9x</b>	<b>4.9x</b>	<b>6.0x</b>	<b>5.9x</b>
Adjusted Double Leverage	<b>143.3%</b>	<b>119.0%</b>	<b>119.4%</b>	<b>122.4%</b>	<b>121.5%</b>

[1] Dollar Amounts in Millions [2] Excludes after-tax impact of unrealized mark to market losses on derivatives [3] Adjusted for credit impairments on CDS contracts

## Opinion

### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Moody's Caa2 insurance financial strength rating on Ambac Assurance Corporation (AAC) and Ambac Assurance UK Limited (together, "Ambac") primarily reflects continued challenges for the company to consistently meet regulatory requirements, greatly diminished franchise value and impaired financial flexibility.

Ambac Assurance Corporation announced \$856 million of statutory surplus for the end of the third quarter 2009, a significant improvement over the \$305 million reported at the end of June. Although the substantial improvement in its surplus is a positive, Ambac's overall credit profile did not materially change. We believe that Ambac's capitalization remains stressed due to mortgage-related exposures. The company's high operating leverage means that relatively modest changes in mortgage loss estimates can have substantial effects on capital adequacy. Accordingly, we believe that Ambac's insurance financial strength remains volatile and can meaningfully evolve depending on how mortgage losses, remediation efforts and regulatory risks may play out.

Moody's Ca and C ratings for the senior unsecured and subordinated debt of Ambac Financial Group, Inc. (Ambac Financial), respectively, reflect the holding company's limited debt service ability. The substantial regulatory risks further reduces the likelihood that the holding company will be able to access operating company resources over a reasonable timeframe to satisfy its obligations. This raises the risk of distressed exchanges of outstanding debt with moderate-to-high estimated severity due to the holding company's modest cash position, limited other sources of liquidity, and severely strained financial flexibility.

Ambac writes financial guaranty insurance on public finance and structured finance obligations. Ambac's insurance provides an unconditional and irrevocable guaranty to pay scheduled interest and principal in the event of an issuer default.

### Credit Strengths

Key credit strengths for the company include:

- Excluding mortgage-related exposures, well-diversified insured portfolio
- Significant value embedded in the firm's public finance portfolio
- Organic capital generation through portfolio amortization

### Credit Challenges

Key credit challenges for the company include:

- Significant increase in reserve and impairment charges due to RMBS and ABS CDO losses, pressuring its ability to maintain healthy surplus
- Strained holding company liquidity due to restricted dividend capacity from AAC for the foreseeable future
- Sensitivity of insured portfolios to credit cycle given some large single-name exposures
- Impaired franchise and financial flexibility due to credit losses

### **Rating Outlook**

The developing outlook for Ambac's regulated insurance companies reflects the possibility of positive or negative movement on Ambac's insurance financial strength ratings, depending on the performance of the insured portfolio and including any negotiated settlements over the next year or two.

The negative outlook for Ambac Financial, the holding company, reflects Moody's view of loss severity on senior debt is likely high, particularly if the company's mortgage related risks deteriorate further.

### **What Could Change the Rating - Up**

The following factors could result in an upgrade:

- The ability to consistently maintain healthy statutory surplus above the regulatory threshold
- Substantially reduced uncertainty regarding ultimate mortgage-related losses
- Achieving capital adequacy ratios consistent with a higher rating level

### **What Could Change the Rating - Down**

The following factors could result in a downgrade:

- Regulatory actions including suspension of claims, rehabilitation or liquidation
- Further deterioration in hard and total capital ratios, for example due to meaningful worsening of the expected performance related to mortgage related risks

### **Recent Results and Developments**

In November 2009, Ambac posted an \$856 million regulatory surplus for 3Q09, which benefited from a number of factors. Adjusting for these factors leaves the company with a GAAP operating loss in the third quarter. During the quarter, Ambac reported \$2,188 million GAAP earnings and \$856 million statutory earnings. We consider the insurer's core earnings to be materially lower as several items boosted the earnings during the quarter, including commutations of ABS CDOs for less than reserves, an increase in the estimated recoveries for loan put-backs, and for GAAP reporting the widening of Ambac's own credit spreads based on FAS 157.

Ambac commuted four ABS CDOs, terminating the contracts with its counterparties and removing about \$5 billion of notional risk from its insured book and reversing sizable estimated losses. Also improving the capital was a \$311 million reinsurance commutation. Nevertheless, the success of commutations depends on counterparty willingness.

Ambac also increased the estimated remediation recoveries for loan put-backs in 3Q 2009 to \$1.9 billion from \$1.2 billion in 2Q 2009. For some of its insured RMBS, Ambac recorded recoveries from putting back underlying loans to lenders deemed to have breached contractual representations and warranties. So far, the company has collected only about \$60 million, but expects the remainder to be realized within three years.

Given the magnitude of stress faced by the company, Ambac's greatest near-term challenge is to consistently maintain healthy statutory surplus above the minimum regulatory level. Interestingly, so far to some extent regulatory accounting rules have helped mitigating the pressure. In Q2 2009, Ambac's regulator approved a reclassification of almost all of its outstanding contingency reserves, about \$1.8 billion, greatly enhancing surplus. Also, Ambac's loss reserves are booked only when an insured obligation defaulted. This delays statutory loss recognition relative to GAAP where a reserve is established as soon as a loss is expected. As of the end of 3Q 2009, the non-CDO-related statutory loss reserves were about \$1.3 billion. This is only 50% of its GAAP loss reserve for RMBS, which stood at \$2.6 billion net of remediation recoveries. Over time, this gap should narrow. Gross statutory loss reserves go up when troubled credits default thereby adversely affecting statutory capital. However, positive earnings would dampen the effect.

Were the company to fall below the threshold, it could lead to regulatory intervention. This in turn could trigger insured CDS terminations at current depressed market values, resulting in large realized losses.

Ambac's 4Q 2009 surplus will be affected by several factors. The company stated that it will record a \$440 million tax-loss carry-back credit in 4Q 2009. Additionally, premium and investment income may provide about \$300 million in earnings, per quarter, based on historical trends. Offsetting these potential capital enhancements, however, is the likely increase in the gross statutory loss reserves in part due to more mortgage-related defaults, and credit deterioration in the insured portfolio is also a distinct possibility.

## **DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS**

Moody's currently rates Ambac Caa2 for insurance financial strength, which is two notches below Moody's unadjusted scorecard rating of B3 (for additional details, please refer to the "other considerations" section below).

### **Insurance Financial Strength Rating**

The key factors currently influencing the rating and outlook are:

Factor 1 - Franchise Value and Strategy: < Baa

The adjusted scorecard rating is non-investment grade. The company is likely to remain in a state of "hibernation" until pressure on its statutory surplus and mortgage related risks dissipates. Ambac is among the largest financial guarantors as measured by net par outstanding, though the company's primary gross par written market share has fallen precipitously in 2008 and 2009 due to legacy risks overhang.

Factor 2 - Portfolio Characteristics: Baa

Ambac's credit risk and tail risk ratios were 248 basis points and 395 basis points at 2008 year end, respectively, up significantly compared to a year ago. This reflects downward credit migration in the insured portfolio, particularly among mortgage-related exposures. Substantial uncertainty remains about the ultimate performance of the firm's mortgage-related exposures. On the other hand, Ambac's public finance portfolios are diverse, and are performing largely as expected. However, the company's exposure to large single risks, initially low, may have increased as a result of adverse capital developments.

### Factor 3 - Capital Adequacy: < Baa

The adjusted scorecard rating is non-investment grade, reflecting Moody's sizable estimated losses for direct RMBS and ABS CDOs, as well as some downward credit rating migration among sectors susceptible to economic slowdowns, such as private student loans and consumer and corporate ABS.

A major reinsurance counterparty of Ambac, Assured Guaranty Re (AGRe), was recently downgraded to A1, from Aa3. AGRe's downgrade, while a negative, does not materially change Moody's view of Ambac's capital profile given the financial strength of the reinsurer relative to Ambac's Caa2 IFSR.

### Factor 4 - Profitability: < Baa

While Ambac has historically achieved the highest returns on equity in the financial guaranty industry, the company has recorded significant loss reserves and credit related impairments on its credit derivative portfolio during the last two years. Longer term, core profitability is likely to trend downward as the company de-leverages and holds increased amount of capital to cover potential stress losses from its RMBS and ABS CDOs. Moody's expects continued volatility in Ambac's operating results for 2010.

### Factor 5 - Financial Flexibility: < Baa

Ambac's financial flexibility is greatly impaired. In addition to the company's depressed current stock price and wide credit spreads, the uncertainty around its regulatory capital position proves challenging for the company to economically raise capital. The sizable mortgage related losses have resulted in its inability to upstream dividends from Ambac Assurance Corporation, its main operating subsidiary, to the holding company during 2009.

The holding company's ability to access the operating companies' resources continues to be very limited, until regulatory risk abates and AAC becomes profitable again. As of the end of 3Q 2009, the holding company had about \$164 million in cash, short-term investments, and bonds to service \$1.2 billion of senior debt with an annual interest obligation of \$89 million. Fulfilling the \$143 million scheduled principal repayment in August 2011 will be challenging, and the risk of distressed exchanges on outstanding debt with moderate-to-high estimated severity remains high.

### Other Considerations

Ambac's adjusted scorecard Caa2 insurance financial strength rating also reflects its greatly diminished franchise value, impaired financial flexibility, regulatory uncertainty, and the likelihood that policyholders may receive payments for less than the face value of potential claims.

## Rating Factors

### Ambac Assurance Corporation

Financial Strength Rating Scorecard [1]	Aaa	Aa	A	Baa	Score	[2]Adjusted Score
Factor 1: Strategy & Franchise Value (25%)					Aa	< Baa
% of Industry Net Par	18.4%					

Outstanding % of Industry Gross Par Written		8.2%					
Moody's Adjusted Book Value/Book Value	x						
Client Concentration Management, Governance & Risk Management Oversight	x					x	
<b>Factor 2: Portfolio Characteristics (20%)</b>							<b>Baa</b>
Credit Risk Ratio						x	
Tail Risk Ratio				395.0			
% Below Investment Grade S (WCL > 10% of HC) / HC				10.2%			
<b>Factor 3: Capital Adequacy (30%)</b>							
Hard Capital Ratio						x	
Total Capital Ratio						x	
Par Reinsured		12.8%					
<b>Factor 4: Profitability (15%)</b>							<b>&lt; Baa</b>
Return on Equity - 3 year average						-35.1%	
Loss Ratio (SAP) - 3-year average						224.7%	
Expense Ratio (SAP) - 3-year average	19.1%						
<b>Factor 5: Financial Flexibility (10%)</b>							<b>&lt; Baa</b>
Earnings Coverage						x	
Cash Flow Coverage						x	
Adjusted Double Leverage				143.3%			
Ease of Access to Capital						x	
<b>Aggregate Profile</b>							<b>Caa2</b>

[1] Unadjusted scores were as of December 31, 2008 [2] The scorecard rating is an important component of the company's published rating, reflecting the stand-alone financial strength before other considerations (discussed above) are incorporated into the analysis



Moody's Investors Service

**CREDIT RATINGS ARE MIS'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT**

**RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

© Copyright 2010, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors including Moody's Assurance Company, Inc. (together, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, such information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind and MOODY'S, in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such information. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings and financial reporting analysis observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY

MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security and of each issuer and guarantor of, and each provider of credit support for, each security that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.

MOODY'S hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MOODY'S have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MOODY'S for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,400,000. Moody's Corporation (MCO) and its wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service (MIS), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually on Moody's website at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."